

L. H. Journal original
 some their debate anew Concerning the Act Prohibiting the Employing Indians, as also Prohibiting all Masters for suffering their seruants to keepe or rayse any hoggs or piggs to their proper use in this Prouince They thinking it very needfull that that Act should passe.

Will^m Bretton Clk

Vppon the Reading of the Act agst hogstealers this howse obserues there is not in the Paragraphs expressing the first & second offence of hogstealers, the satisfaction prouided to the
 p. 42 Owner of as was by this howse intended to bee prouided, would proue very iniurious to the Owners of aine end for w^{ch} that Act was intended Vpper howses further debate thereon, reasons, why those fines prouided howse, & sent to the Vpper howse may not

Will^m Bretton Clk

ame from the Vpper howse & delivered That is to say.)

Concerning Pet^{ns} from Balte- &c: Aprill last on the

[One line missing]

The Vpper

[One line missing]

of Hydes &c: & all other w^{ch} was thus underwritt.

This howse doth iudge this Act as yett inconuenient 'till such time are there bee sufficient number of Tanners, Curryers, & shoemakers to Tan & worke up the hydes of the Prouince. And by consequence keepe meny hundred of shooes from being imported.

John Gittings Clr.

An Act prohibiting fforreigne Ingrossers. Thus underwritt.

The Vpper howse doe Judge That this Act may cause a Contest betweene the Colony of Virginia & us, about puchasing goods in Patowmeck Riuer from ships ryding on eyther side. Hauing hitherto indifferently traded for supplying Our respectiue necessities. Besides th^t it can neuer well be proued th^t the very individuall goods bought from any Merchant are those same hee sells att excessiue rates.

John Gittings Clr

As also the Petⁿ of Robert Clerke pressed vppon the last Indian designe being out Eleauen weekes on that designe.

p. 43 In Order thereunto the Vpper howse have thought fitt That the Commis^{rs} of St Maries County satisfaction.
 And the Lower howse haue