

DELEGATE SCANLAN: I am sorry. The motion is to delete the last line on page 4, and substitute for it language reading "That is determined in whole or in part with reference to the result accomplished." As I understand it, it would not be necessary just to have a contingent fee to defeat something or get something approved. If it in any way was contingent upon the degree of your success at the Convention, in shading a point of view, for example, it would then be covered by the prohibition against contingent fees.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Bamberger?

DELEGATE BAMBERGER: That same amendment would have to be made after the word compensation, on the seventh line of paragraph 7.

DELEGATE SCANLAN: Delegate Bamberger is quite correct, and I would make the same change there to encompass both in the same committee motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Bamberger, the Chair is in doubt. You are speaking of the seventh line of paragraph 7?

DELEGATE BAMBERGER: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: And following the last word in the line, compensation?

DELEGATE BAMBERGER: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: And would you delete anything in the next line then?

DELEGATE BAMBERGER: I would delete all of the next line. Just a minute. I would delete all of the next line and delete the words matter of proposal on the ninth line.

THE PRESIDENT: The tenth line. I am sorry. You are right. As I understand it, then, the proposal by the committee is to amend section 7 on page 4 by adding, after the last word, "compensation," in the seventh line, the phrase, "that is determined in whole or in part with reference to the result accomplished," and deleting all of the eighth line, and first three words, "matter or proposal" in the ninth line, and further, by deleting the last six words, being all of the last line, and inserting in lieu thereof, the words, "that is determined in whole or in part with reference to the result accomplished."

Is that the suggestion of the Committee Chairman?

DELEGATE SCANLAN: That is.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection to the change suggested by the committee?

If not, then the proposed regulation will be considered as having been so amended.

You had another suggestion.

DELEGATE SCANLAN: No. At this point, Mr. President, I am ready to move that the proposed regulation favorably reported on in this second report of the Committee on Rules, Credentials and Convention Budget, be adopted by the Convention.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there a second?

*(The motion was duly seconded.)*

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any discussion?

DELEGATE DUKES: David Dukes, Prince Georges County. Mr. President, I have known Chairman Scanlan for a number of years and have never been unimpressed by his eloquence and ability. Even today his staying power is fine. Because of my impression of him, I studied very carefully the report of the Committee on Rules, and I listened to each and every word. I cannot see where we gain anything by the proposed regulations except perhaps a partial list of various witnesses who will appear before the Convention. Beyond that it seems to me that inasmuch as we have no sanctions, inasmuch as we apparently have not seen fit to do that which we could have done, and that is provide some sort of censure or other punitive measures for those of us who should choose not to worry about the regulations ourselves, not report improper comments made to us or improper attempts to approach the Convention, inasmuch as I can see not where we gain anything other than, as I said, a list of witnesses, which can easily be obtained by asking desirable questions of the witnesses when they appear before the committees or the Convention, and inasmuch as my dear old daddy told me, if it does not do some good, it probably does some harm, I am against the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any further discussion?

*(There was no response.)*

THE PRESIDENT: Are you ready for the question?

*(Call for the question.)*

The question arises on the motion to approve the resolution adopting the regulation