

Margaret A. Dorsey, the administratrix, in her answer denies the validity and existence of these claims, and she, as well as the creditor's complainants, plead the Statute of Limitations thereto. In reference to the note of Slothower, she states that the same was delivered by the deceased some weeks before his death to George L. Stockett, to be delivered to one Baker Dorsey for collection through the bank, with express directions to receive the same and to apply it to certain dental operations to be performed on the teeth of respondent. That said note was delivered to said Dorsey, but that deceased departed this life before the money was so applied, and that the same remains in bank to this day, but she claims that said sum does not belong to the estate of the intestate, but by his express directions so given to the said George L. Stockett, was applicable to the purpose designated, and she claims the same, but is willing to abide by the order of the court in this behalf.

The testimony of John Warfield in relation to the claim of Margaret G. Warfield set up by the petition was, that sometime in the year 1849, not more than eighteen months before the death of Rinaldo W. Dorsey, he called upon Seth Warfield and witness to value a negro girl named Maria, which he had agreed to sell to Margaret G. Warfield at such price as he should agree upon, which was \$75. R. W. Dorsey was owing money to Margaret G. Warfield, and the \$75 was to be credited on her account against him, and witness wrote the credit on the back of a due bill about the time of the sale.

The testimony of Seth Warfield as to the same claim is that witness was called upon by R. W. Dorsey to value the negro girl which he had agreed to sell to his aunt, Margaret G. Warfield, at such price as John Warfield and witness should say she was worth; he valued her at \$75 or \$80. Witness is not certain about the mode of payment, but to the best of his recollection, Dorsey said he was owing his aunt money. Whether the girl was in payment of the whole or not, witness cannot say. The sale took place witness thinks sometime in the year 1849.

The testimony of Margaret G. Warfield in relation to the claim of Mrs. Ann Pierse is, that witness was present at the time