

1 MR. MITCHELL: With all due respect, I am
2 going to disagree with Mr. Miller and I think Mr.
3 Martineau states my position. I think that, for
4 example, the provision that we considered this morning
5 that provides for the relocation of county lines, my
6 feeling that in the future, 15 or 20 years from now,
7 that is going to be a very, very important provision and
8 to require a two-thirds vote of those present seems
9 to me to really nullify it completely, and I think
10 that that should be, for example, that should be smaller
11 than the idea of removing or voting to remove somebody
12 from office.

13 I cite that by way of example, but I believe
14 a distinction should be drawn because some of them are
15 more important than others as far as flexibility is
16 concerned.

17 THE CHAIRMAN: Any further discussion?
18 Mr. Sykes.

19 MR. SYKES: I also think two-thirds is
20 too restrictive if there is to be uniformity and there
21 probably ought to be uniformity because there are very